REMARKS

I. Status of claims

Claim 10 was previously canceled. Claim 3 has been canceled in this response. Claims 1, 2, and 4-6 have been amended in this response. By entering this response, claims 1, 2, 4-9, 11 and 12 remain for consideration.

II. Amendment of specification

Applicants have amended the specification by adding the Cross References to this Application and other relevant headings. No new matter has been introduced by the amendment of the specification.

III. Amendment of the claims

Applicants have amended claim 1 by incorporating the limitations of original claim 3, which has been canceled therefore. Applicants also amended claim 1, 2, and 4-6 to make them more readable. No new matter has been introduced by the claim amendment.

IV. Response to anticipation rejection

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-9, 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) as being anticipated by *Herzog* (US 6,265,502). The rejection no longer applies to claim 3, which has been canceled. Applicants believe that amended claim 1 and its dependent claims 2, 4-9, 11 and 12 are novel over *Herzog*. As indicated by claim 1, the process of the invention comprises two steps: a start-up step and a continued step. In the start-up step (a), a polyolefin having a melt flow rate greater than 4.5 g/10 min is made. In the continued step (b), the polymerization is continued by gradually decreasing the melt flow rate of the polyolefin to less than 4 g/10 min. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection and allow remaining claims 1, 2, 4-9, 11 and 12. Applicants invite the Examiner to

10/551,676 Response to Office Action of October 7, 2008 Page 6

telephone their attorney, Shao-Hua Guo, at (610) 359-2455 if a discussion of the application might be helpful.

Respectfully submitted, Alexander Koppl et al.

By: Shushule Lus

Shao-Hua Guo

Attorney for Applicants Reg. No. 44,728

LyondellBasell Industires Phone: (610) 359-2455 December 23, 2008

Customer No. 24114